(BS) INSTITUTE OF INSOLVENCY PROFESSIONALS

A wholly owned subsidiary of ICSI and registered with IBBI (Formerly known as ICSI Insolvency Professionals Agency)

KNOWLEDGE REPONERE (09th February- 15th February, 2019)

Dear Professional Members,

Greetings!

We are pleased to share with you our next issue of the knowledge bulletin on the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 ("Code").

UPCOMING EVENTS

Interactive Session and Networking for Insolvency Professionals &
 Other Professionals being organized on 20th February, 2019

An interesting thought provoking interaction inter se the Insolvency Resolution Professionals (IRPs) and other experts is being organised by ICSI IIP to focus on some specific issues/difficulties faced by the IRPs. The Session shall involve free exchange of ideas, thoughts and views on the present IBC regime. The suggestions made during the Session shall be analyzed and brought to the Regulators' notice seeking amendments to the regulations, thereby intending to make the CIRP process more effective and efficient.

Date- February 20, 2019

Time- 6pmto 8pm

Venue-Andhra Association, 24-25, Lodhi Institutional Area, New Delhi – 110003

Registration fees – Rs. 300/- per person (payment can be made through Cash/Paytm/DD).

Last date of registration – February 18, 2019

[Registration fee includes Evening Tea at 5:30PM]The payment may be made by cash/paytm/DD in favour of "ICSI Institute of Insolvency Professionals" payable at New Delhi.

❖ IBC- A game changer for the Bankers': An Interactive Session on February 27, 2019

Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India and ICSI Institute of Insolvency Professionals (ICSI IIP) jointly proposes to organise a half-day session for the Bankers on the subject **'IBC- A game changer for the Bankers'**, at the Conference Hall, 5th Floor, <u>Andhra Association</u>, 24-25, Lodhi institutional Area, <u>New Delhi on February 27, 2019 from 3.00pm to 6.00pm</u>.

ADMITTED CASES

Cases under the Code are being filed expeditiously across the various benches of National Company Law Tribunal ("**NCLT**"). The newly admitted cases with regard to CIRP under the Code are as below:

S. No.	Case Title	Relevant Section	NCLT Bench	Amount in default as mentioned in application (in Rupees)
1.	In the matter of Sholingur Textiles Limited	Section 7 of the Code dealing with the initiation of CIRP by financial creditor.	Chennai	53.80 Crore
2.		Section 9 of the Code dealing with the initiation of CIRP by operational creditor.	Chennai	3.60 Lakh
3.		Section 9 of the Code dealing with the initiation of CIRP by operational creditor.	Ahmedabad	10.19 Lakh

LIST OF COMPANIES THAT HAVE RECENTLY UNDERGONE LIQUIDATION

S. No	Case Title	Bench	Date of Order
1.	In the matter of Infinitas Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Chennai	06.02.2019
2.	In the matter of Summer India Textiles Mills Private Limited	Chennai	08.02.2019
3.	In the matter of Deleo Construction Private Limited	Chennai	08.02.2019

LIST OF COMPANIES THAT HAVE RECENTLY UNDERGONE RESOLUTION

S. No	Case Title	Bench	Date of Order
1.	In the matter of Naachair Paper Boards Private Limited	Chennai	08.02.2019

NEWS UPDATE

• NCLAT extends deadline for NCLT Ahmedabad to decide on Essar. The National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT) in its order dated 12th Feb,2019 granted a week more time to NCLT Ahmedabad to decide over the Rs 42,000 crore resolution plan submitted by ArcelorMittal for the debt ridden EssarSteel.

(Source: http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/67955114.cms?ut m source=contentofinterest&utm medium=text&utm campaign=cppst)

• NCLT asks director to seek permission before travelling abroad.

The Mumbai Bench of NCLT has recently asked four directors of Gammon India Ltd. to not leave the country without obtaining its permission.

(Source: https://barandbench.com/nclt-asks-directors-to-seek-approval-before-traveling-abroad/? branch match id=608564215592243914)

• State Bank Of India Finds Only One Taker For Essar Steel Loans.

(Source: https://www.bloombergquint.com/business/state-bank-of-india-

finds-only-one-taker-for-essar-steel-loans#gs.BzNq8AZ1)

 ArcelorMittal must wait more for Essar Steel resolution; NCLT defers bankruptcy verdict again.

ArcelorMittal's wait for Essar Steel bankruptcy resolution has got longer with the NCLT verdict deferred to 19 February 2019, and the subsequent NCLAT hearing postponed to 28 February 2019. The Ahmedabad branch of the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) is now expected to give its verdict on ArcelorMittal's resolution plan for Essar Steel by 19 February,2019

(Source: https://www.financialexpress.com/industry/arcelormittal-must-wait-more-for-essar-steel-resolution-nclt-defers-bankruptcy-verdict-again/1484892/)

NCLAT adjourns RCom's plea for insolvency to 6 March

The National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT) on 12th February,2019 adjourned the hearing over Reliance Communications' plea to proceed with insolvency process to 6 March as the matter is also pending before the Supreme Court.

(Source: https://www.livemint.com/industry/telecom/nclat-adjourns-rcom-s-plea-for-insolvency-to-6-march-1549983285379.html)

• JSW Steel Declared Winning Bidder ForBhushan Power & Steel

(Source: https://www.bloombergquint.com/business/nclt-allows-amtek-autos-resolution-process-to-start-once-again#gs.7j31XEjA)

NCLT Allows Amtek Auto's Resolution Process To Start Once Again

The Chandigarh bench of the National Company Law Tribunal, on13th February,2019 allowed the financial creditors of Amtek Auto Ltd. to start the resolution process from scratch, more than 18 months after it was first initiated.

(Source: https://www.bloombergquint.com/business/nclt-allows-amtek-autos-resolution-process-to-start-once-again#gs.7j31XEjA

BRIEF OF JUDGEMENTS

S. No.	Case Details	Date of Order	Courts	Brief	Case link
1.	Cushman And Wakefield India Private Limited V. Union Of India &Anr.	31.01.2019	High	A writ petition was filed before the Hon'ble Delhi High Court to declare that Rule 3(2) of the Companies (Registered Valuers and Valuation) Rules, 2017 as unconstitutional for violating Article 14, Article 19(1)(g) and Article 301 of the Constitution of India. Rule 3(2) states that no partnership entity or a company can be a registered valuer if it is a subsidiary, joint venture or associate or another company or body corporate. The Court laid down that the objective and intention behind laying down the Rule is clearly to introduce higher standards of professionalism in valuation industry, specifically in relation to valuations	n%20the%20 matter%20of %20Cushma n%20and%2 0Wankefield %20India%2 0Private%20 Limited%20V s%20Union%

undertaken for the purpose of Companies Act and 2016. IBC, The impugned Rule obviates the possibility of conflict interest of account of diverging interests of constituent entities associate resultantly which shall undermine the very process valuation, being one of the most essential elements of the proceedings before NCLT.

The issue raised whether was exclusion of subsidiary joint company, venture or associate of other company, for purpose of eligibility for registration as valuer is reasonable.

The court held that making eligible only companies other than subsidiary companies, associate companies and joint ventures for the purpose of

				registration as valuer, a separate class has been carved out based on classification which is founded on intelligible differentia and as such the Rule cannot be faulted.	
2.	Coal India Ltd. v. Gulf Coil Lubricants India Ltd. &Anr.	11.02.2019	NCLAT	The court observed that the parties have now settled the matter prior to the constitution of the 'Committee of Creditors' and the Adjudicating Authority did not take into account that the principal amount has already been paid and that the original plea of 'Corporate Debtor' that no interest was payable in terms of the Agreement/ Contract has been resolved the Adjudicating Authority appointing 'Interim Resolution Professional', declaring moratorium, freezing of account, and all other order (s) passed by the Adjudicating Authority pursuant	min/pdf/orde r/2019/Feb/1 1th%20Feb% 202019%20I n%20the%20 matter%20of %20Coal%20 India%20Ltd. %20vs%20G ulf%20Coil% 20Lubricants %20India%2 0Ltd.%20&% 20Anr.%20[C A(AT)(Insolv ency)807- 2018] 2019-

				to impugned order and action, if any, taken by the 'Interim Resolution Professional', including the advertisement published in the newspaper calling for applications all such orders and actions are declared illegal and are set aside.	
3.	Srei Infrastructure Finance Ltd. v. IDBI Bank Ltd. &Anr.	11.02.2019	NCLAT	_	1th%20Feb% 202019%20I n%20the%20 matter%20of %20Srei%20 Infrastructur

			and only thereafter the cause of action arises for one or other party including the appellant herein, if the appellant feels aggrieved against such order.	
4. State Bank of India v. Jai Balaji Industries Limited &Ors.	08.02.2019	NCLAT	In the present case, an application under Section 7 was filed before theNCLT, Kolkata Bench and was kept pending for an year as NCLT was of the view that winding up/liquidation order has been passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta. But, winding up order was recalled by the division Bench. NCLT failed to notice this relevant order passed by division Bench. Therefore, Tribunal remitted Jai Balaji matter to the Kolkata Bench with direction to admit the application under Section 7 and also directed that no further hearing is required to be	ov.in//webad min/pdf/orde r/2019/Feb/8 th%20Feb%2 02019%20St ate%20bak% 20of%20Indi a%20vs%20J ai%20Balaji

			given to any person and held that it is a fit case for admission.	
5. Vipin Kumar v/s RamanathanB huvaneshwari R.P. for Tejkamal Pharmaceutica Is Pvt. Ltd.	,	NCLAT	An appeal was filed stating that the Adjudicating Authority cannot refer the fraud matters which are identified during Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process by Resolution Professional as per Section 66 of the I & B Code, 2016 to Central Government directly for investigation unless it has evidence to form opinion that investigation into affairs of the company is required after giving due notice to the parties concerned. In view of the above, NCLAT has set aside the order dated 20.08.2018 passed by NCLT, Bengaluru Bench with the observation that the question of law has been left open for determination in an	nic.in/Userad min/upload/2 1402626985c 628cf2c82ab.

				appropriate case.	
6.	Kushal Ltd. v/s Ramchandra D. Choudhary⩔ s.	01.02.2019	NCLAT	An appeal was filed before the appellate tribunal stating that an approved resolution by CoC was placed before the approval of Adjudicating Authority for more than seven months back, the Adjudicating Authority for one or other reason is adjourning the matter. NCLAT directed NCLT with observation to list the matter immediately within 2 weeks otherwise the appellant can file interlocutory application to NCLAT.	nic.in/Userac min/upload/ 9584974985 592c625f876
7.	Vandana Industries Ltd. v/s IL & FS Financial Services Ltd. &Anr.	01.02.2019	NCLAT	A Section 10 application was filed by the Board of Directors of the Company without approval of the shareholders. NCLAT dismissed	min/upload/ 1463609625
				the application filed before NCLT under sec 10 of IBC, 2016 and made it void even though	

8.	Union of India, Ministry of Corporate Affairs v. Mr. MukeshManekl alChoksi	06.02.2019	NCLT, Mumbai Bench	liquidation order was passed by Adjudicating Authority. NCLAT directed the Corporate Debtor to pay the fees of RP within 2 weeks. A Statutory Auditor, colluded with the Chairman/Director of other Respondent company and has given a false Audit	ov.in/sites/de fault/files/Int erim-order- pdf/CP%204
				certificate relating to the Profit & Loss Account and Balance Sheet of the Respondent company i.e. Zen Shaving Limited, without even examining and verifying the books of accounts, and the Statutory Auditor has not given any plausible explanation for such irresponsible fraudulent activities. NCLT held that the statutory Auditor shall not be eligible to be appointed as an Auditor of any company for a period of 5 years from the date of	02018%20Un ion%20of%2 0India%20vs %20Mukesh %20Choksi% 20- %206.2.2019 %20FINAL A MENDED%20

9. Unimetal Castings Ltd Vs. TJSB Sahakari Bank Ltd 1. The Corporate Debtor (CD) Submitted that Ioan was appearing in the Balance Sheet of the CD which is acknowledgement of liability in its Balance Sheet. Thus, the liability in the balance sheet is a clear acknowledgement of debt by the CD and is not barred by Limitation Act. Therefore the adjudicating authority being satisfied with the fact that the CD defaulted in making payment towards the liability to the petitione deserves to be admitted.						
Castings Ltd Vs. TJSB Sahakari Bank Ltd Mumbai Bench Bench Mumbai Bench Bench Debtor (CD) submitted that loan was appearing in the Balance Sheet of the CD which is acknowledgement of liability and CD has not disputed the fact of loan being shown as liability in its Balance Sheet. Thus, the liability shown in the balance sheet is a clear acknowledgement of debt by the CD and is not barred by Limitation Act. Therefore the adjudicating authority being satisfied with the fact that the CD defaulted in making payment towards the liability to the petitioner held that the petition deserves to be					and the auditor shall also be liable for action under Section 447 of the Companies Act,	
	9.	Castings Ltd Vs. TJSB Sahakari Bank	25.01.2019	Mumbai	Debtor (CD) submitted that loan was appearing in the Balance Sheet of the CD which is acknowledgement of liability and CD has not disputed the fact of loan being shown as liability in its Balance Sheet. Thus, the liability shown in the balance sheet is a clear acknowledgement of debt by the CD and is not barred by Limitation Act. Therefore the adjudicating authority being satisfied with the fact that the CD defaulted in making payment towards the liability to the petitioner held that the petition deserves to be	ov.in/sites/de fault/files/Int erim-order- pdf/TJSB%20 SAHAKARI% 20BANK%20 LTD.%20CP- 3622- 2018%20NCL T%20ON%20 25.01.2019% 20INTERIM.p

We trust you will find this issue of our bulletin useful and informative.

Wish you good luck in all your endeavors!!

Team ICSI IIP

Disclaimer Although due care and diligence has been taken in the production of this Knowledge Reponere, the ICSI Institute of Insolvency Professionals shall not be responsible for any loss or damage, resulting from any action taken on the basis of the contents of this Knowledge Reponere. Anyone wishing to act on the basis of the material contained herein should do so after cross checking with the original source.